## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 36795**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 400
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: March 25, 2010
v.	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
MARCUS D. MCGRAY,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of t Bonner County. Hon. John P. Luster, Order denying I.C.R. 35 motion for red	<u> </u>
Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Pub Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for	olic Defender; Heather M. Carlson, Deputy appellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.	General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Marcus D. McGray pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. § 18-8004, 18-8005(7). The district court sentenced McGray to to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, to run concurrent with an unrelated sentence. McGray filed an I.C.R. 35 motion, which the district court denied. McGray appeals.

A motion for reduction of sentence under I.C.R. 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting a Rule 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). An appeal from the

denial of a Rule 35 motion cannot be used as a vehicle to review the underlying sentence absent the presentation of new information. *Id.* Because no new information in support of McGray's Rule 35 motion was presented, review of the sentence by this Court is precluded. For the foregoing reasons, the district court's order denying McGray's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.